

**Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation**

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION**PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION**

Requestor's Name and Address: HARRIS METHODIST HEB 3255 W PIONEER PKWY ARLINGTON TX 76013	MFDR Tracking #: M4-06-7523-01
Respondent Name and Box #: NEW HAMPSHIRE INSURANCE CO. Rep Box # 19	

PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

Requestor's Position Summary: "Understanding that TWCC is wanting to move to a hospital reimbursement of a %-over-Medicare, we have used that methodology in our calculation of fair and reasonable. Medicare would have reimbursed the provider at the APC rate of \$2,248.87 for APC #042. Allowing this at 140% would yield a fair and reasonable allowance of \$3,148.42. Based on the payment of \$1,175.89 a supplemental payment is still due of \$1,972.53."

Principal Documentation:

1. DWC 60 Package
2. Total Amount Sought - \$1,972.53
3. Hospital Bill
4. EOBs
5. Medical Records

PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

Respondent's Position Summary: "It is the Respondents position that the Requestor was paid more than a fair and reasonable amount as determined in accordance with the criteria for payment under the ACT. Specifically, the amount paid by the Respondent was more than that which would be allowed under Medicare. Respondent has paid Requestor \$1118.00 which is the same amount that a full service hospital would be paid for its facility charges associated with a spinal surgery and a one-day inpatient hospitalization."... "As the Requestor, the health care provider has the burden to proof that the fees paid were not fair and reasonable."

Principal Documentation:

1. DWC 60 Package

PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Denial Code(s)	Disputed Service	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
10/10/2005	W1, W10, 17, 97	Outpatient Surgery	\$1,972.53	\$0.00
Total /Due:				\$0.00

PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION

Texas Labor Code §413.011(a-d), titled *Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines*, and Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, titled *Use of the Fee Guidelines*, effective May 16, 2002 set out the reimbursement guidelines.

1. For the services involved in this dispute, the respondent reduced or denied payment with reason codes:

- W1-WC State fee sched adjust. [sic] Reimbursement according to the Texas Medical Fee Guidelines.

- 17-A healthcare provider shall not submit a medical bill later than the ninety fifth day after the date the services are provided for services provided on or after September 1, 2005. Rule 134.801 Section C.
 - W10-No maximum allowable defined by fee guideline. Reimbursement made based on insurance carrier fair and reasonable reimbursement methodology. Reduced to fair and reasonable.
 - 97-Payment is included in the allowance for another srv/px. [sic] Included in global reimbursement. Reimbursement is being withheld as this procedure is considered integral to the primary proc billed.
2. This dispute relates to outpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 TexReg 4047, which requires that “reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers’ Compensation Act, §413.011.”
 3. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual’s behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
 4. Division rule at 28 TAC §102.4(h), effective May 1, 2005, 30 TexReg 2397, titled General Rules for Non-Commission Communication, states “Unless the great weight of evidence indicates otherwise, written communications shall be deemed to have been sent on:
 - (1) the date received, if sent by fax, personal delivery or electronic transmission or,
 - (2) the date postmarked if sent by mail via United States Postal Service regular mail, or, if the postmark date is unavailable, the later of the signature date on the written communication or the date it was received minus five days. If the date received minus five days is a Sunday or legal holiday, the date deemed sent shall be the next previous day which is not a Sunday or legal holiday.”
 5. Texas Labor Code §408.027(a) states, “A health care provider shall submit a claim for payment to the insurance carrier not later than the 95th day after the date on which the health care services are provided to the injured employee. Failure by the health care provider to timely submit a claim for payment constitutes a forfeiture of the provider's right to reimbursement for that claim for payment.” This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on August 11, 2006. The respondent raised the issue of timely filing of billing utilizing EOB denial code “17.” Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor submitted an EOB dated 12/7/2005 that supports that charges for date of service 10/04/2005 were submitted timely; however, the requestor did not submit convincing evidence to support that the remaining disputed charges were submitted timely per Section 408.027(a).
 6. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(A), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282; and applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003 requires that the request shall include “a copy of all medical bill(s) as originally submitted to the carrier for reconsideration in accordance with §133.304.” Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not submitted a copy of the original bill. Therefore, the requestor has failed to complete the required sections of the request in the form, format, and manner prescribed by the Division sufficient to meet the requirements of 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(A).
 7. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(C), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282; and applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003 requires that the request shall include “a table listing the specific disputed health care and charges in the form, format and manner prescribed by the commission”. Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has indicated that the amount billed for the services in dispute is the total for all services charged on the hospital bill; however the documentation does not support that all of the services in dispute were rendered on the date of service listed on the requestor’s *Table of Disputed Services*. The requestor listed the disputed date of service as 10/10/05 on the *Table*; the total charges on the bill were for date of service 10/3/05, 10/4/05, 10/8/05 and 10/10/05. Therefore, the requestor has failed to complete the required sections of the request in the form, format, and manner prescribed by the Division sufficient to meet the requirements of 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(C).
 8. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282; and applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003 requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including “a statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include: (i) a description of the healthcare for which payment is in dispute, (ii) the requestor’s reasoning for why the disputed fees should be paid or refunded, (iii) how the Texas Labor Code and commission [now the Division] rules, and fee guidelines, impact the disputed fee issues, and (iv) how the submitted documentation supports the requestor position for each disputed fee issue. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor did not discuss or explain how the Texas Labor Code and Division rules impact the disputed fee issues, or how the submitted documentation supports the requestor’s position for each disputed fee issue. The Division concludes that requestor has not provided documentation sufficient to meet the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C).
 9. Division Rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide “documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment

amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement in accordance with §133.1 of this title (relating to Definitions) and §134.1 of this title (relating to Use of the Fee Guidelines)”. The requestor asserts in the position statement that “Understanding that TWCC is wanting to move to a hospital reimbursement of a %-over-Medicare, we have used that methodology in our calculation of fair and reasonable. Medicare would have reimbursed the provider at the APC rate of \$2,248.87 for APC #042. Allowing this at 140% would yield a fair and reasonable allowance...” The requestor did not discuss or explain how it determined that 140% of the Medicare rate would yield a fair and reasonable reimbursement. Nor did the requestor submit evidence, such as redacted EOBs showing typical carrier payments, nationally recognized published studies, Division medical dispute decisions, or documentation of values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments, to support the proposed methodology. Nor has the requestor discussed how the proposed methodology would be consistent with the criteria of Labor Code §413.011, or would ensure similar reimbursement to similar procedures provided in similar circumstances. Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not discussed, demonstrated or justified that the payment amount sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement in accordance with 28 TAC §134.1. The request for additional reimbursement is not supported.

10. The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor did not submit convincing evidence to support that the disputed charges for dates of service 10/3/05, 10/8/05 and 10/10/05 were submitted timely per Section 408.027(a). The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(e)(2)(A), §133.307(e)(2)(C), §133.307(g)(3)(C) and §133.307(g)(3)(D). Therefore, the requestor failed to meet its burden of proof to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES

Texas Labor Code § 413.011(a-d), § 413.031, § 413.0311, §408.027
 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, §134.1, §133.304, §102.4(h)
 Texas Government Code, Chapter 2001, Subchapter G

PART VII: DIVISION DECISION AND/OR ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the Requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.

DECISION:

		12/11/2009
_____ Authorized Signature	_____ Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	_____ Date

PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division Rule 148.3(c).

Under Texas Labor Code Section 413.0311, your appeal will be handled by a Division hearing under Title 28 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 142 Rules if the total amount sought does not exceed \$2,000. If the total amount sought exceeds \$2,000, a hearing will be conducted by the State Office of Administrative Hearings under Texas Labor Code Section 413.031.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.